# MATH 54 - HINTS TO HOMEWORK 4

#### PEYAM TABRIZIAN

Here are a couple of hints to Homework 4! Enjoy :)

**Note:** This homework has been trimmed a bit! For section 4.3, you only have to do 7 problems, and you can ignore 15 and for 22 you only need to do (a), (b), (c). Also, for 1, 5, 6, you don't need to show your work! For section 4.4, for 15 and 16 you only need to do (a) and (b).

# SECTION 4.1: VECTOR SPACES AND SUBSPACES

Remember the three techniques of showing whether something is a vector space or not!

- (1) Trick 1: Show it is not a vector space by finding an explicit property which does not hold
- (2) Trick 2: Show it is a subspace of a (known) vector space
- (3) Trick 3: Express it in the form *Span* of some vectors.

Also, to show W is a subspace of V (and hence a vector space), you need to show 3 things:

(1) The **0** vector is in W

(2) If  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are in W, then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  is in W

(3) If  $\mathbf{u}$  is in W and c is any real number, then  $c\mathbf{u}$  is in W

4.1.5. Yes

**4.1.7.** NO! The reason is the word *integer*. Remember that c can in general have any real value, including  $\sqrt{2}$ . So ask yourself: If p is in W, is  $\sqrt{2}p$  in W? If W was a subspace, it would be!

# 4.1.9. Yes

**4.1.15.** No, the **0** vector is not in it!

4.1.17. Yes, express it as Span of 3 vectors!

**4.1.21.** Yes, you can either show it by using the regular 3 steps (Trick 2), or you can show it's the Span of 3 matrices.

4.1.22. Yes, use the regular 3 steps of showing that something is a subspace!

Date: Tuesday, July 3rd, 2012.

#### PEYAM TABRIZIAN

4.1.24.

- (a) **T** (this is important to remember!!! A vector isn't a list of numbers any more, it could be anything, even a function!)
- (b) **T**
- (c) **T** (of itself!)
- (d) F (careful, a subspace also has to be a subset! Here ℝ<sup>2</sup> is not a subset of ℝ<sup>3</sup>. That is, a list of numbers with 2 entries is not the same as a list of numbers with 3 entries)
- (e) **T** (again, the textbook might give you a different answer, but I agree that this is weirdly phrased! What they mean is: If  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$  is in H, then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  is in H).

**4.1.32.** This is a bit tricky! Remember that  $H \cap K$  is the set of vectors that is both in H and in K. Here's the proof that  $H \cap K$  is closed under addition (hopefully that'll inspire you to do the rest):

Suppose u and v are in  $H \cap K$ . Then u and v are in H, so is u + v (since H is a subspace). Also, since u and v are in K, so is u + v (since K is a subspace). Hence u + v is both in H and K, hence u + v is in  $H \cap K$ .

As for the fact that the union of two subspaces is not a subspace, take H to be the x-axis, and K to be the y- axis in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### SECTION 4.3: LINEARLY INDEPENDENT SETS, BASES

Remember that a basis is a linearly independent set which spans the whole space! Equivalently, as set is a basis if the corresponding matrix A is invertible.

**4.3.1.** Yes (you can show directly that it is linearly independent. Since there are 3 vectors and  $dim(\mathbb{R}^3) = 3$ , by a theorem in Friday we know that it also spans  $\mathbb{R}^3$ )

**4.3.5.** No (lin. dep. because contains the **0** vector, does span  $\mathbb{R}^3$  though)

**4.3.6.** No (even though it's linearly independent, it doesn't span  $\mathbb{R}^3$  because  $dim(\mathbb{R}^3) = 3$  and there are only 2 vectors)

**4.3.11.** The system the book talks about is:

$$\begin{cases} x + 2y + z = 0\\ y = y\\ z = z \end{cases}$$

In other words, you add the two trivial equations y = y and z = z. Then solve for x in terms of y and write your answer in parametric vector form

**4.3.15. IGNORE THIS PROBLEM**, unless you enjoy being tortured :) We will learn a better technique of doing this problem in section 4.6.

2

#### MATH 54 - HINTS TO HOMEWORK 4

# 4.3.21.

- (a) **F** (consider the **0**-vector)
- (b) **F** (no, the set could be linearly dependent!)
- (c) T (yes, by IMT)
- (d)  $\mathbf{F}$  (as *small* as possible, i.e. no redundant vectors)
- (e) Ignore

#### 4.3.22.

- (a) **F** (might not span H!)
- (b) **T** (this is the spanning set theorem, theorem 5b)
- (c) **T**
- (d) Ignore
- (e) Ignore

**4.3.33.** Suppose  $a\mathbf{p}_1 + b\mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ , where **0** is the zero-polynomial. Then either identify coefficients (like in Math 1B), or differentiate, to find out that a = b = 0.

**4.3.34.**  $\mathbf{p}_3 = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2$ , so we can eliminate  $\mathbf{p}_2$  from the span in the problem! However,  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{p}_2$  are linearly independent (show this! same technique as 33), hence they form a basis for that span.

### SECTION 4.4: COORDINATE SYSTEMS

Remember: It's easier to figure out x once we know  $[x]_{\mathcal{B}}$  than the reverse. Also, remember that the change of coordinates matrix is just the matrix whose columns are the elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

It takes a code as its input and tells you which vector corresponds to that code. Its inverse matrix does what you usually want: It produces the coordinates of x

**4.4.1.** This just means x is 5 times the first vector + 3 times the second vector.

**4.4.5.** Figure out for which coefficients  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  we have  $x_1\mathbf{b_1} + x_2\mathbf{b_2} = \mathbf{x}$ . For this, just solve a system of equations for  $\mathbf{x_1}$  and  $\mathbf{x_2}$ .

4.4.9. Its just the matrix whose columns are the two vectors!

**4.4.11.** Use the formula:  $\mathbf{x} = P_{\mathcal{B}}[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{B}}$  is the change-of-coordinates matrix!

**4.4.13.** For this, first identify the polynomials with a vector, i.e.  $1 + t^2$  becomes  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

and  $1 + 4t + 7t^2$  becomes  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\4\\7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then find the change-of-coordinates matrix, and use the formula:  $\mathbf{p} = P_{\mathcal{B}}[\mathbf{p}]_{\mathcal{B}}$  and solve for  $[\mathbf{p}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Notice how useful this identification is!

#### PEYAM TABRIZIAN

# 4.4.15.

4

- (a) T
- (b) F (it's the opposite:  $\mathbf{x} = P_{\mathcal{B}}[\mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ , *P* takes a code as its input and spits out a vector, just like a supermarket scanner!)
- (c) Ignore

### 4.4.16.

- (a) T (yes, because for example,  $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , so the code/coefficients of  $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ is just  $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ . **DO NOT WRITE DOWN THIS EXAMPLE ON YOUR HW**, it's just for information purposes)
- (b) F (it's the opposite: it's the correspondence x → [x]<sub>B</sub> that's the coordinate mapping / producing the code mapping)
- (c) Ignore

4.4.27. In other words, is the following set linearly independent or not?

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3\\1\\-2\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\-1\\3\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Again, notice the usefulness of identifying a vector with its code!!!